

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

----- x  
SUMAYA HUSSEIN, on behalf of herself and all:  
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SPIRIT AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendant.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	1
NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IN THE CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT.....	4
LEGAL STANDARD.....	5
ARGUMENT .....	6
I.       The ACAA-	

' H I H Q G D Q W 6 S L U L W \$ L U O L Q H V , Q F M<sup>3</sup>6 S L U D I S Miss\$ L U O L Q H

3 O D L C<sup>3</sup>M<sup>3</sup>L A<sup>3</sup>C<sup>3</sup>P<sup>3</sup>V Complaint with prejudice for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

in the provision of services relating to air travel while balancing its broader air transportation-related objectives.<sup>1</sup>

In this context, the DOT has issued regulations that set forth detailed requirements relating to air carrier website accessibility for the visually impaired. See 14 C.F.R. § 382.43(c)(1) (adopting Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG ) 2.0 Level AA accessibility standard); 14 C.F.R. § 382.43(c)(2) (requiring air carriers to test their websites with input from individuals with disabilities or members of disability organizations); 14 C.F.R. § 382.43(c)(4) (requiring air carriers to provide assistance through other channels to individuals who cannot use a website due to their disability).

7 K H \$ & \$ \$ ¶ V V W D W X W R U \ D Q G U H J X O D W R U \ U H J L P H U H  
 complaint made to it alleging discrimination by an air carrier on the basis of disability. See<sup>49</sup> U.S.C. § 41705(c). In enforcing its regulatory regime, the DOT has the authority to revoke an air carrier ¶ operating certificate (see<sup>49</sup> U.S.C. § 41110(a)(2)(B)), impose fines (see<sup>id.</sup> § 46301), initiate an enforcement action in a federal district court (see<sup>id.</sup> § 46106), or request that the Attorney General bring a civil enforcement action (see<sup>id.</sup> § 46107(b)(1)). The ACAA also requires that the DOT regularly publish disability-related complaint data; review the data and report annually to Congress on the results; and implement a plan to provide technical assistance to air carriers and individuals with disabilities in understanding the rights and responsibilities set forth in the ACAA. See<sup>49</sup> U.S.C. § 41705(c)(2)-(4).

In issuing ACAA-based website accessibility regulations, the DOT has stressed the L P S R U W D Q F H W K D W D L U F D U U L H U V E H V X E M H F W R Q O \ W R ensure uniformity in the application of the ACAA to air carriers and to avoid creating a

---

<sup>1</sup> See<sup>49</sup> U.S.C. § 101(a) (Congress created W K H '27 W R G H Y H O R S ³ W U D Q a M S R u W D W L R C F R Q W U L E X W H W R S U R Y L G L Q J I D V W V D I H H I I L F L H Q W D Q G F R Q Y H



## NATURE OF ALLEGATIONS IN THE CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff alleges that she is <sup>3</sup>D Y L V~~W~~YD<sup>W</sup> And legally blind person who requires screen- U H D G L Q J V R I W Z D U H W R U H D G Z H E V L D<sup>W</sup>H N o F R Q W H Q W X V  
Specifically, Plaintiff alleges that on May 22 and 24, 2023, she attempted to book round-trip W L F N H W V I R U D L U W U D Q V S R U W D W L R Q I U R P & K L F D J R W R / R unable to complete her purchase due to the incompatibility of the Website with her screen-reading program. See id. ¶¶ 20-24. On June 2, 2023, Plaintiff filed the instant action.<sup>2</sup>

3 O D L Q W L I I F O D L P V W K D W 6 S L U L W \$ L U O L Q H V ¶ : H E V L W H software products and, W K X V 3 O D L Q W L I I Z D V <sup>3</sup>G H Q L H G W K H E H Q H I L W W R D F T X L U H I U R I E. ¶ 4 K H : H E V L W H









Stat. 61 (2000) (amending the ACA to require, *inter alia*, the DOT to investigate each complaint of air carrier discrimination on the basis of disability). The ACA further requires that the DOT regularly publish disability-related complaint data; review the data and report annually to Congress on the results; and implement a plan to provide technical assistance to air carriers and individuals with disabilities in understanding the rights and responsibilities set forth in the ACA. See 49 U.S.C. § 41705(c)(2)-(4).

**D. The DOT Has Promulgated a Comprehensive ACAA-Based Regulatory Regime Specifically Addressing Air Carrier Website Accessibility**

On November 23, 2013, the DOT issued regulations specifically governing air carrier website accessibility approximately five (5) years after providing notice of its intention to issue such regulations. See 14 C.F.R. § 382.43; **Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel**, 78 Fed. Reg. 67884. Before issuing the final regulations, the DOT received 84 comments from the aviation industry, advocacy organizations,<sup>4</sup> academic institutions, and PHPEHUV RI WKH SXEOLF DQG <sup>3</sup>FDUHIXOO\ UHYLHZ Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel, 78 Fed. Reg. 67884-86. The DOT also examined the costs and benefits of the website accessibility requirements and concluded that <sup>3</sup> WKH TXDOLWHPDWLFV HbeQG of the Web site [ . . . ] accessibility requirements combined with the quantifiable

2008, Web site Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 for Level AA .<sup>14.C.F.R. §</sup>  
382.43(c)(1) see [Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel](#) Fed. Reg.  
67882

In this context, the DOT noted the necessity of website accessibility regulation, stating

Today



of the ADA to transportation services provided by public entities] addresses specifically public bus and public rail transportation. Part I of the subtitle deals with all public transportation other than transportation by aircraft which Congress has recently addressed in the Air Carrier Access Act.. H P S K D V. L V D G G H G

C. No Reported Decision Has

#### D. The Longstanding Views of the DOJ and DOT Confirm that the ADA Does Not Apply to Air Carrier Website Accessibility

Both the DOJ and the DOT, the agencies tasked with promulgating and enforcing regulations under the ADA and ACAA, respectively, have issued commentary or implementation guidance demonstrating that the ADA does not apply to air travel services provided by air carriers. Specifically, the DOJ, which is authorized by Congress to promulgate ADA implementing regulations (see [42 U.S.C. § 12186\(b\)](#)) has explained that the ADA does not apply to air carriers.

For example, a quick service restaurant at an airport is, as a public accommodation, subject to the title III requirements, not to the ACAA requirements. Conversely, an air carrier that flies in and out of the same airport is required to comply with the ACAA, but is not covered by title III of the ADA.

[Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability Public Accommodations and in Commercial Facilities, 75 Fed. Reg. 5624](#) (<sup>emphasis added</sup> see [Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions, 77 Fed. Reg. 7804](#)) The DOT has stated that

### **III. Amendment of the Class Action Complaint Would be Futile Because the ACAA Does Not Confer a Private Right of Action**

Amendment of Plaintiff ¶ Class Action Complaint would be futile because the ACAA exclusively governs this action, and the ACAA does not confer a private right of action to litigants. See, e.g. [Stokes](#) 887 F.3d at 205. Thus, D Q \ D P H Q G H G F R P S O D L Q W ³ Z R X C motion to dismiss. ' [Gandhi](#) 721 F.3d at 869.

### **IV. n Administrative Complaint With the DOT**

If Plaintiff wishes to pursue her claims U H O D W L Q J W R W K H D F F H V V L E L O Website, she is limited to making an administrative co0 g0 G[(0 g0 G[(c)4(o0 \* nBT( ))] 6 9 T4958y8(q0.000

DOJ. Further, subjecting air carriers to regulation under two different statutory schemes and by two different agencies would undercut the regulatory uniformity that has been a key to the success of air carrier disability accessibility. See [Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability in Air Travel](#), 78 Fed. Reg. 67911.<sup>3</sup> KLV RXWFRPH KDV ODUJHO\ FRPH DER throughout the U.S. market being freed to focus their resources on meeting a single regulatory DQG HQIRUFHPHQW VFKHPH IRU HQVXULQJ DFFHVVL ELOLW [redacted]

Susan Valentine  
300 E. Randolph, Suite 3400  
Chicago, IL 606061  
Tel: (312) 2888285  
svalentine@VABlawfirm.com

Counsel for Defendant Spirit Airlines, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify the foregoing